

Community-led recovery: evidence, dimensions and supports for Community Recovery Committees

Dr Kate Brady

Dr Colin Gallagher

Hannah Morrice

University of Melbourne



slido

SCAN TO PARTICIPATE

CODE: NHRF23

@hazardsresearch

#NHRF23





Community led recovery



Today

- Project background
- Introduce the tools
- Let's play!

Project background

1


2020-2021

2

2021 - 2023

1

2020-2021



Summary of research about Community Recovery Committees

Community perceptions analysis

Build a self assessment tool for Community Recovery Committees

2

2021-2023

Self-assessment tool

Social network mapping
tool

```
graph TD; A[Self-assessment tool] --- B[Formation and scope]; A --- C[Governance]; A --- D[Stakeholder engagement];
```

Self-assessment tool

Formation and
scope

Governance


Stakeholder
engagement

The below questions are about what you would like your Community Recovery Committee to look like.

Please select a position on the scale that reflects your views.


FORMATION AND SCOPE

One well defined location	<input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/>	District level, multiple locations
Single issue focus	<input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/>	Whole of recovery focus
Pre-existing group	<input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/>	Completely new group
Self-appointed group	<input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/>	Formal election process
No clear objectives for the group	<input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/>	Clear, deliberate goals and parameters of the group
Do not manage projects	<input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/>	Manage all projects
Group formed / adapted very soon after the disaster event	<input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/>	Group formed / adapted some time after the disaster event
No financial responsibility	<input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/>	Complex financial responsibility
No legal status of group	<input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/>	Clear legal status of group



Community structure as Group co-affiliation

Using information on community social networks...




Which local groups are...

- Popular?
- Central?
- Important to vulnerable demographics?

SNAP Tool

- Real-time mapping of residents' co-affiliations through local groups.
- Network metrics to support decision making
 - Information dissemination
 - Engagement and consultation
 - Community-level intervention
- Achieving “representativeness”



WELCOME TO
WOMBATVILLE

POP 2500

Group 1

Group 2

Group 1

- Having a play with the self assessment tool
- Use the community scenario
- 6 characters
- 1 character / person
- Fill in the self assessment tool as though you are your character

Group 2

Group 1

- Having a play with the self assessment tool
- Use the community scenario
- 6 characters
- 1 character / person
- Fill in the self assessment tool as though you are your character

Group 2

- Having a play with the SNAP tool
- Use the community scenario
- 6 characters
- 1 character / person
- Fill in the SNAP as though you are your character


Group 1



Group 2



Here's how you group in terms of responses.




Formation & scope (Agree)

No clear objectives for the group

VERSUS


Clear, deliberate goals and parameters of the group

Std Dev: 0.67



Formation & scope (Disagree)

No legal status of group
VERSUS
Clear legal status of group
Std Dev: 1.75




Governance and Decision Making (Agree)

No access to subject matter experts for advice / information on issues
VERSUS

Regularly request information from subject matter experts to help inform decision making


Std Dev: 0.83



Governance and Decision Making (Disagree)

No planning around representing all sectors of the community within the committee
VERSUS

A core element of planning is consideration of whose voices in the community may be
Std Dev: 1.87




Stakeholder engagement (Agree)

Don't actively engage with the community beyond the committee
VERSUS


Well planned, active community engagement strategy

Std Dev: 0.83



Stakeholder engagement (Disagree)

Don't engage with media
VERSUS
Proactive media strategy
Std Dev: 1.29





Want to
learn more
about this?

